arXiv:0808.0887v2 [math.AG] 24 Dec 2009

# Microsupport of tempered solutions of $\mathcal{D}$-modules associated to smooth morphisms

Teresa Monteiro Fernandes

**Abstract**

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of complex analytic manifolds and let $F$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-constructible complex on $Y$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. We prove that the microsupport of the solution complex of $\mathcal{M}$ in the tempered holomorphic functions $t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)$, is contained in the 1-characteristic variety of $\mathcal{M}$ associated to $f$, and that the microsupport of the solution complex in the tempered microfunctions $t\mu hom(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is contained in the 1-microcharacteristic variety of the microlocalized of $\mathcal{M}$ along $T^*Y \times_Y X$. This applies in particular to the complex of solutions of $\mathcal{M}$ in the sheaf of distributions holomorphic in the fibers of an arbitrary smooth morphism.

## 1 Introduction

Let $X$ be a complex analytic manifold. Let $\mathcal{O}_X$ denote the sheaf of holomorphic functions on $X$ and let $\mathcal{D}_X$ denote the sheaf of holomorphic differential operators.

---

The research of the author was supported by Fundação para a Ci ê ncia e Tecnologia and Programa Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação do Quadro Comunitário de Apoio.

Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35A27; Secondary: 32C38.

Let $D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ denote the full subcategory of the derived category $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ of the complexes with $\mathbb{R}$-constructible cohomologies (we shall call such a complex an $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaf for short) .

Let $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(.\ ,\mathcal{O}_X)$ denote the functor of moderate cohomology introduced by M. Kashiwara in ([12] ) and studied by M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira in ([14]). This functor is defined on $D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ and, in particular, when $M$ is a real manifold and $X$ is a complexification of $M$, by taking as $F$ the dual $D'(\mathbb{C}_M)$ of the constant sheaf on $M$, we get $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(F,\mathcal{O}_X) = Db_M$, the sheaf of Schwartz distributions on $M$.

The notion of microsupport of an object $G$ in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$, $SS(G)$, was introduced by M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira ([13]), as a subset of the cotangent bundle $\pi : T^*X \to X$, and it describes the directions of non propagation for $G$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. In other words, locally, $\mathcal{M}$ is a system of partial differential equations on $X$. The estimation of the microsupport of the solutions of $\mathcal{M}$ in $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(F,\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a challenging problem in Algebraic Analysis, since the growth conditions require far beyond algebro-geometrical tools. One of this tools is the so called Levi-condition introduced in [7] for one operator and generalized by the notion of 1-microcharacteristic variety of a microdifferential system along an involutive submanifold of $T^*X$ ( [23], [22], [19]).

Several particular cases have been settled such as the case of a microdifferential operator and the sheaf of tempered microfunctions (also introduced by [1]) in [8]. When $\mathcal{M}$ has real simple characteristics, the microsupport of the distribution solutions of $\mathcal{M}$ was studied in [6] and [2].

More recently, for a $\mathbb{C}$-constructible object $F$, we proved in [21] that the microsupport of the solutions of $\mathcal{M}$ in $t\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathcal{O}_X)$ is controlled by the 1-microcharacteristic variety of the microlocalised of $\mathcal{M}$ along any smooth involutive manifold containing the microsupport of $F$. For that we used the crucial fact that, by [12] (see also[14]), $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(F,\mathcal{O}_X)$ is an object of the bounded derived category of regular holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules which has no counterpart in the general case.

Also, in [15], assuming that $\mathcal{M}$ is regular along a sub-bundle $V$ of $T^*X$ in the sense of [16], for any $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_X)$, we obtained

$$SS(\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(F, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset V \hat{+} SS(F)^a$$

(here $a$ denotes the antipodal map on $T^*X$ and the operation $\hat{+}$ was defined in [13]).

In the case of an arbitrary $\mathbb{R}$-constructible object $F$, one first difficulty is that $F$ needs not, in general, to be concentrated in degree zero. However, this property is satisfied by $F = \mathbb{C}_U$, the constant sheaf supported by a Stein subanalytic relatively compact open subset $U$ (see [9] and [18]).

The purpose of this paper is to study the propagation (i.e. microsupport) for the solutions of a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module (or, more generally, of an object of the derived category) in $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ where $f : X \to Y$ is a smooth morphism of complex manifolds and $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_Y)$. For that, we shall use the notion introduced in[19] of 1-characteristic variety of a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module with respect to a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$, where it is denoted by $C^1_f(\mathcal{M})$. It is a variant of the the notion of 1-microcharacteristic variety for $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules and it is a conic closed involutive analytic subset of the relative conormal bundle $T^*(X|Y)$.

More precisely, denoting by $\tilde{f}$ the canonical projection $T^*X \to T^*(X|Y)$, in Theorem 2.10 we prove that, for any $G \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_Y)$, we have

$$SS(\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(f^{-1}G, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M})). \tag{1}$$

Our main inspiration is the analysis developped in [7], which we partially adapted in order to obtain a Cauchy-Kowaleskaia theorem of precise type with data satisfying tempered growth conditions on a Stein subanalytic open subset of the form $f^{-1}\Omega$.

We then apply this estimate to the tempered microlocalization functor $t\mu hom(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Let $\mathcal{E}_X$ denote the sheaf of microdifferential operators on $X$. Noting $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{M}$, $V$ the regular involutive submanifold $X \underset{Y}{\times} T^*Y$ of $T^*X$, $\rho_V : T(T^*X) \to T_V(T^*X)$ the canonical projection, $C^1_{V^\cdot}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) \subset T_V(T^*X)$ the 1-microcharacteristic variety of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ along $V^\cdot = V \setminus T^*_X X$, and identifying $T^*(T^*X)$ to $T(T^*X)$ by the Hamiltonean morphism, we obtain in Theorem 6.2,

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{E}_X}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, t\mu hom(f^{-1}(F), \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset \rho_V^{-1}(C^1_{V^\cdot}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})).$$

The paper is organized as follows:

In the Section 2 we pass under review the construction of $C^1_f(\mathcal{M})$ and stateTheorem 2.10.

In Section 3 we prove a variant of Grauert's Theorem for subanalytic relatively compact open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In Section 4 we study the behaviour of the microsupport and of the 1-characteristic variety under realification.

In Section 5 we study the Cauchy problem and propagation to end with the proof of the main result. We start by treating the Cauchy problem for $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_X)$, for a given open subanalytic set $\Omega \in Y$. More precisely, setting $G = \mathbb{C}_\Omega$, we prove a Cauchy-Kowalevskaia-Kashiwara theorem (Theorem 5.2) with data in $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(f^{-1}G, \mathcal{O}_X)|_H$ and $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}((f^{-1}G)_H, \mathcal{O}_H)$, where $H$ is a closed submanifold non 1-characteristic for a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $f$. This is performed by reduction of $f$ to the case of a projection $f : X = Z \times Y \to Y$, and to $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$ for an operator $P$.

Then, given $H$ a submanifold of $X$ non 1-characteristic for $P$ with respect to $f$, we obtain a precised Cauchy-Kowalevskaia theorem with data respectively in $\mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$ and in $\mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}((f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U)_H, \mathcal{O}_H))$ (cf. Proposition 5.1).

Together with a Zerner type theorem (cf Lemma 5.3), this entails estimate (1) in the case $G = \mathbb{C}_\Omega$, $\Omega$ being a subanalytic Stein open set of $Y$, and hence (1) holds for $G = \mathbb{C}_S$, under the assumption that $S$ is a subanalytic subset of $Y$ complementary to a finite union of Stein subanalytic open subsets. The proof of Theorem 2.10 is then reduced, by the realification procedure, to the case $f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U$, for an open subanalytic relatively compact subset $U$ in a real manifold $N$ complexified by $Y$.

As an example of application we obtain (cf Corollary 2.14):

Let $X$ be a complex manifold, let $Y$ be a d-dimensional manifold complexifying a real analytic submanifold $N$ and let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism. Then $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(D'(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_N), \mathcal{O}_X)$ is concentrated in degree $d$ and $\mathcal{H}^d(t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(D'(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_N), \mathcal{O}_X))$ can be understood as a sheaf of distributions with holomorphic parameters which we shall denote, for the sake of simplicity, $Db_{X|N}$. We then obtain:

$$SS(\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, Db_{X|N})) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M})).$$

Section 6 is dedicated to microlocalization. After reviewing the notion of 1-microcharacteristic variety and the tempered microlocalization functor, we state and prove Theorem 6.2.

It is a pleasure to thank M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, G. Morando, L. Prelli, A.R. Martins, S. Guillermou, for their helpeful attention and, in particular, to D. Barlet whom I owe the essential idea to prove Theorem 3.2.

## 2 Review on $t\mathcal{H}om$, the 1-characteristic variety associated to a smooth morphism and estimate for $t\mathcal{H}om$

We will mainly follow the notations in [13], [14] and the construction in 1.3 of [19].

Let $X$ be a real analytic manifold. Let $TX$ be the tangent bundle to $X$ and $T^*X$ the cotangent bundle, with the projection $\pi : T^*X \to X$. We identify $X$ with the zero section of $T^*X$. Given a smooth submanifold $Y$ of $X$, let $T_YX$ be the normal bundle to $Y$ and let $T^*_YX$ be the conormal bundle.

For a submanifold $Y$ of $X$ and a subset $S$ of $X$, we denote by $C_Y(S)$ the normal cone to $S$ along $Y$, a closed $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic subset of $T_YX$.

For a morphism $f : X \to Y$ of manifolds, we denote by

$$f_\pi : X \times_Y T^*Y \to T^*Y \text{ and } f_d : X \times_Y T^*Y \to T^*X$$

the associated morphisms. Recall that when $f$ is smooth, $f_d$ is an embedding, and that when $f$ is an embbeding, $f_\pi$ is smooth.

Let us assume that $f$ is smooth. Set $V = X \times_Y T^*Y$. Then $f_\pi$ is smooth and, by $f_d$, $V$ is a sub-bundle of $T^*X$. Recall that the relative cotangent bundle is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \to X \underset{Y}{\times} T^*Y \underset{f_d}{\to} T^*X \underset{\tilde{f}}{\to} T^*(X|Y) \to 0.$$

**Remark 2.1.** Let $X, Y$ be real manifolds, and let $p : X \times Y \to X$, $q : T^*(X \times Y) \to T^*X$ be the projections. Identifying $Y$ with the zero section of $T^*Y$, we have $T^*X \times Y \subset T^*(X \times Y)$. Let $A \subset T^*X \times Y$. Then $p_\pi p_d^{-1}(A) = q(A)$.

For a subset $A$ of $T^*X$, we denote by $A^a$ the image of $A$ by the antipodal map

$$a : (x, \xi) \mapsto (x; -\xi).$$

The closure of $A$ is denoted by $\overline{A}$. Let $\gamma \subset TX$ be a cone; the polar cone $\gamma^\circ$ to $\gamma$ is the convex cone in $T^*X$ defined by

$$\gamma^\circ = \{(x;\xi) \in TX; x \in \pi(\gamma) \text{ and } Re\langle v, \xi\rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } (x;v) \in \gamma\}.$$

Given $A$ and $B$ two closed $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic subsets of $T^*X$ one associates a closed $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic subset $A \hat{+} B$ of $T^*X$ (cf. Definition 6.2.3 and Proposition 6.2.4 of [13]).

Let $j : Y \to X$ be an embedding of manifolds. The notion of $j^\#$, a correspondence introduced in [13] associating conic subsets of $T^*Y$ to conic subsets of $T^*X$ is characterized by the following result:

**Proposition 2.2** (cf.Remark 6.2.8 of [13]). *Let* $\Lambda$ *be a conic subset of* $T^*X$. *Let* $(x', x'')$ *be a system of local coordinates on* $X$ *such that* $Y = \{x' = 0\}$. *Let* $(x', x''; \xi', \xi'')$ *be the associated coordinates on* $T^*X$. *Then*

$(x''_0; \xi''_0) \in j^{\#}(\Lambda)$ *if and only if there exist a sequence* $\{(x'_n, x''_n; \xi'_n, \xi''_n)\}_n$ *in* $\Lambda$ *such that*

$$x'_n \underset{n}{\rightarrow} 0, x''_n \underset{n}{\rightarrow} x''_0, \xi''_n \underset{n}{\rightarrow} \xi''_0, |x'_n||\xi_n{}'| \underset{n}{\rightarrow} 0.$$

We denote by $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ the triangulated category of complexes of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces with bounded cohomologies and by $D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ (respectively $D^b_{\mathbb{C}-c}(\mathbb{C}_X)$) the triangulated category of complexes with bounded and $\mathbb{R}$-constructible cohomologies (respectively with $\mathbb{C}$-constructible cohomologies). For $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ we set $D'(F) = R\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathbb{C}_X)$.

For any $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$, one associates its microsupport $SS(F)$, introduced by Kashiwara and Schapira in [13], a closed $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic involutive subset of $T^*X$ given by:

**Definition 2.3.** Let $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. Let $p \in T^*X$. Then $p \notin SS(F)$ if and only if there exists an open conic neighbourhood $U$ of $p$ such that for any $x \in \pi(U)$ and any $\mathbb{R}$-valued $C^\alpha$-function $\varphi$ defined on a neighbourhood of $x$ such that $\varphi(x) = 0$, $d\varphi(x) \in U$, one has

$$H^j_{\{\varphi \geq 0\}}(F)_x = 0 \text{ for any } j.$$

We shall need need the following properties of $SS(\cdot)$ proved in [13]. Given $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ we set:

$$H_\varepsilon(x_0, \xi_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle x - x_0, \xi_0\rangle > -\varepsilon\},$$

and if there is no risk of confusion we will write $H_\varepsilon$ instead of $H_\varepsilon(x_0, \xi_0)$.

**Proposition 2.4** ([13]). *Let* $\Omega$ *be a local chart in a neighbourhood of* $p$ *such that* $\Omega$ *is identified to an open subset of* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *and* $p = (x_0, \xi_0)$. *Then there exist a proper closed convex cone* $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varepsilon > 0$ *and an open neighbourhood* $W$ *of* $x_0$ *with* $\xi_0 \in Int(\gamma^\circ)$ *such that* $(W + \gamma^a) \cap \overline{H_\varepsilon} \subset X$ *and*

$$H^j(X;\mathbb{C}_{(x+\gamma^a)\cap H_\varepsilon}\otimes F)=0, \textit{ for any } j, x\in W.$$

**Proposition 2.5.** *Given a distinguished triangle* $F'\to F\to F''\xrightarrow[+1]{}$, *one has*

$$SS(F)\subset SS(F')\cup SS(F''),$$

$$(SS(F')\backslash SS(F''))\cup(SS(F'')\backslash SS(F'))\subset SS(F).$$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let* $Y$ *and* $X$ *be real analytic manifolds and let* $f:Y\to X$ *be a smooth morphism. Let* $F\in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$. *Then*

$$SS(f^{-1}F)=f_d(f_\pi^{-1}(SS(F)). \qquad (2)$$

Given a morphism $g:X\to Y$ of real manifolds, we note $or_{X|Y}$ the sheaf on X of relative orientations. We note $\mathcal{D}b_X$ the sheaf of Schwartz distributions on X.

On a complex manifold $X$, we consider the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ of holomorphic functions and the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_X$ of linear holomorphic differential operators of finite order as well as its subsheaves $\mathcal{D}_X(m)$ of operators of order at most $m$. We denote by $Mod(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the abelian category of $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules and denote by $Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ the abelian category of coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules.

Given a morphism $f:Y\to X$ of complex manifolds we shall consider the associated (derived) functors $\underline{f}^{-1}$ from $D^b(Mod(\mathcal{D}_X))$ to $D^b(Mod(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ and $R\underline{f}*$, from $D^b(Mod(\mathcal{D}_Y))$ to $D^b(Mod(\mathcal{D}_X))$.

The functor of moderate cohomology from $D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ to $D^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$, $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\cdot,\mathcal{O}_X)$, was introduced in [12]. For a detailed study we refer to [14]. Recall that, when $X$ is a complexification of a real analytic manifold $M$ of dimension n and $F=D'(\mathbb{C}_M)$, we have $t\mathcal{H}om(F,\mathcal{O}_X)\simeq\mathcal{D}b_M$. We shall use the following properties:

(i) For an open subanalytic subset $U$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$, one has

$$\mathcal{H}^0 t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_U,\mathcal{O}_X)=\mathcal{O}_X^{t-U}$$

where the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{t-U}$ is defined by:

$\Gamma(\Omega;\mathcal{O}^{t-U}) = \{f \in \Gamma(\Omega \cap U;\mathcal{O}_X);$ for any compact $\mathrm{K} \subset \Omega$ there exist an integer $\mathrm{k} \geq 0$ and a number $C_K \geq 0$ such that $\underset{K\cap U}{sup}|f(z)d(z,\delta U)^k| \leq C_K\}$.

(ii)([18], [9]) Assume that $U$ is Stein relatively compact. Then $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_U,\mathcal{O}_X)$ is concentrated in degree 0 and, for any open Stein subset $V$, for any $j \geq 1$,

$$H^j(V; t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_U,\mathcal{O}_X)) = 0. \tag{3}$$

For $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, denote by $Char(\mathcal{M})$ denote its characteristic variety.

Let us now consider a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ of complex manifolds, let $\mathcal{M} \in Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and let us recall the construction of $C^1_f(\mathcal{M})$ due to Schapira in [19]. The ring of differential operators relative to $f$ is

$$\mathcal{D}_{X|Y} := \{P \in \mathcal{D}_X; [P, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y] = 0\}$$

which is endowed with the filtration by the order induced by the order on $\mathcal{D}_X$. We note $\sigma$ the associated principal symbol. The 1-characteristic variety of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $f$ is a closed conic analytic subset of $T^*(X|Y)$ which, assuming that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{J}$ for a coherent ideal of $\mathcal{D}_X$, is given by:

$$C^1_f(\mathcal{M}) = \{\theta \in T^*(X|Y); \sigma(P)(\theta) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}\}.$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *([19]) If $0 \to \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N} \to 0$ is an exact sequence of coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules, then*

$$C^1_f(\mathcal{M}) = C^1_f(\mathcal{L}) \cup C^1_f(\mathcal{N}).$$

We can extend this construction to $D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, setting, for $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$,

$$C^1_f(\mathcal{M}) := \cup_j C^1_f(\mathcal{H}^j(\mathcal{M})).$$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let $X, Y, Z$ be complex manifolds, let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism, note $\overline{f} = f \times id_Z : X \times Z \to Y \times Z$, and note $p : X \times Z \to X$ and $q : T^*(X \times Z) \to T^*X$ the projections. Then, for any $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, one has $q^{-1}\tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M})) = \tilde{\overline{f}}^{-1}(C^1_{\overline{f}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))$.*

*Proof.* By classic tools, we may assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is concentrated in degree zero and is of the form $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{J}$, for a coherent ideal $\mathcal{J}$ of $\mathcal{D}_X$. Let us conseder a system of local coordinates $(x)$ on $X$, $(z)$ on $Z$ and $(y)$ on $Y$. Then

$$\tilde{\overline{f}}^{-1}(C^1_{\overline{f}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M})) = \{(\theta, \theta') \in T^*X \times T^*Z; \sigma(P)(\theta, \theta') = 0\}$$

where $P$ varies in $\mathcal{D}_{X\times Z|Y\times Z} \cap \langle \mathcal{D}_{X\times Z}\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{D}_{X\times Z}D_z\rangle$ and the result follows by the equality $\mathcal{D}_{X\times Z|Y\times Z} \cap \langle \mathcal{D}_{X\times Z}\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{D}_{X\times Z}D_z\rangle = \mathcal{D}_{X\times Z|Y\times Z} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X\times Z}\mathcal{J}$. q.e.d.

For a submanifold $H \subset X$, we shall say that $H$ is non 1-characteristic for $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $f$ if $T^*_HX \cap \tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M})) \subset T^*_HH$.

**Remark 2.9.** Let $\mathcal{E}_X$ denote the sheaf of microdifferential operators on $X$. Denoting by $V$ the sub-bundle $X \underset{Y}{\times} T^*Y$ of $T^*X$, $C^1_f(\mathcal{M})$ is the differential version of the 1-microcharacteristic variety of the microdifferential system $\mathcal{E}_X \underset{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}{\otimes} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}$ along $V \setminus T^*_XX$.

We state now the main result of this work:

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let $X$ and $Y$ be complex manifolds, let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism. Then, for any $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_Y)$ and any $\mathcal{M} \in Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have*

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M})). \qquad (4)$$

We illustrate our result with simple examples:

**Example 2.11.** Let $X = \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}_z \times \mathbb{C}_y$ and let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}_y$ be the projection. Let $P(z, D_z, y) \in \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$ be the operator $D_z - y$. Consider the $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\langle P\rangle$. Then, in the canonical symplectic coordinates $(z, y; \xi, \tau)$ in $T^*X$, $C^1_f(\mathcal{M}) = \{\xi = 0\}$ hence $(0, 0; 1, 0) \notin C^1_f(\mathcal{M})$. Let $F = \mathbb{C}_U$ with $U = \{y; \Re y > 0\}$ and let $H_+ = \{(z, y); \Re z > 0\}$. We have $t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{O}^{t-\mathbb{C}\times U}$.

Since $e^{-yz}(D_z - y)e^{yz} = D_z$, $\mathcal{M}$ is regular along $V = \{(z, y; \xi, \tau); \xi = 0\}$ in the sense of [16], hence this example is also an application of [15]. Let $B_\epsilon$ be an open polydisc of $X$ with center $(0, 0)$ and radius $1 \gg \epsilon > 0$. Let $g \in \Gamma(B_\epsilon \cap H_+; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$ (respectively $g \in \Gamma(B_\epsilon; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X)))$. Then, equation $Pu = g$ has a solution $u$ in $\Gamma(B_\epsilon \cap H_+ \cap \mathbb{C} \times U; \mathcal{O}_X)$ (respectively in $\Gamma(B_\epsilon \cap \mathbb{C} \times U; \mathcal{O}_X))$ since solving $(D_z - y)f = g$ is equivalent to solve $D_z(e^{-zy}f) = e^{-zy}g$. In both cases it becomes clear that in fact $u$ defines a section of $t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C} \times U, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

Suppose now that $u \in \Gamma(B_\epsilon \cap H_+; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$ is such that $Pu$ extends, for some $\epsilon'$, to a section in $\Gamma(B_{\epsilon'}; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$. Since $u$ extends in the $z$ variable by classical Zerner's Lemma, and the general solution of the homogeneous equation $Pu = 0$ in $t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$ is of the form $C(y)e^{yz}$, with $C(y) \in t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_Y)$, the extension of $u$ defines a section in $\Gamma(B_{\epsilon'}; t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$.

**Example 2.12.** In the situation of 1. let $Q(z, D_z, y) = z^2 D_z + y^2$ and let $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_X/\langle Q\rangle$. Let $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Then $C^1_f(\mathcal{N}) = \{z = 0\} \cup \{\xi = 0\}$. Therefore $(0, 0; 1, 0) \in \tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{N}))$. Let $U \subset Y$ be equal to $\{\Re y > 0\}$. Consider a solution $u = C(y)e^{-y^2/z}$ of the homogeneous equation, with $C(y) \in \Gamma(B_\epsilon, t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_Y))$. Clearly, $u \in \Gamma(\{\mathbb{R}z > 0\} \times B_\epsilon(0), t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{O}_X))$. However $u$ does not extend to any open set containing points of the form $(0, y)$.

**Example 2.13.** Let $X = \mathbb{C}_{z_1} \times \mathbb{C}_{z_2} \times \mathbb{C}_y$, let $P(z_1, z_2, y; D_{z_1}, D_{z_2}) = D_{z_1} - yD_{z_2} \in \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$ and let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\langle D_{z_1} - yD_{z_2}\rangle$. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{C}_y$ be the projection and let $U = \{y; \Re y > 0\}$ as in the previous examples. Then $\tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M})) = \{(z_1, z_2, y; \xi_1, \xi_2, \tau); \xi_1 = y\xi_2\}$, hence $(0, 1, 0; 1, 0, 0)$ is 1-non characteristic for $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $f$. Given $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the solution of the Cauchy problem $Pu = 0$, $u|_{z_1=0} = 1/ye^{1/z_2}$, for $|z_1| < \epsilon$, $\Re y > 0$, $|y| < \epsilon$ and $|z_2 - 1| < \epsilon$ is $u = 1/ye^{\frac{1}{yz_1+z_2}}$ which is a section of $t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ since $e^{\frac{1}{yz_1+z_2}}$ it is holomorphic for $|z_1| < \epsilon$, $|y| < \epsilon$ and $|z_2 - 1| < \epsilon$ .

Assume that $Y$ is a complexified of a real analytic manifold $N$ and let $d =$

$dimY$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism with $dimX = n$. Then one easily checks that $t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_{f^{-1}(N)}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is concentrated in degree $d$. In particular, when $f$ is a projection, we can describe $\mathcal{H}^d(t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_{f^{-1}(N)}, \mathcal{O}_X)) \otimes or_{f^{-1}(N)|X}$ as a sheaf of distributions with holomorphic parameters which we denote by $Db_{X|N}$ for short. We get the following estimate, which is to relate with the results in [7].

**Corollary 2.14.** *Let $\mathcal{M} \in Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Then,*

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, Db_{X|N})) \subset \tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M})).$$

# 3 Grauert's theorem and subanaytic open sets in real anaytic manifolds

By open neighbourhood of an open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ we mean an open subset $\Omega'$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mathbb{R}^n \cap \Omega' = \Omega$. A well known result of H. Grauert (Proposition 7, [4]) implies that any open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ admits a fundamental system of Stein open neighbourhoods in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We shall prove a similar however weaker result (Theorem 3.2 below), under the assumption that $\Omega$ is subanalytic relatively compact. For that purpose, we need the following essential tool due to H. Hironaka (cf [5], [3]). Recall that a subset $Q$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a *quadrant* if there is a partition of $\{1, ..., n\}$ into disjoint subsets $I_0, I_+, I_-$ such that $Q = \{x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i = 0 \; if \; i \in I_0, \, x_i > 0, \; if \; i \in I_+, \; and \; x_i < 0, \; if \;\; i \in I_-\}$. By definition, a quadrant is a semianalytic subset and is open when $I_0$ is empty.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Rectilinearization Theorem ([5])*

*Let $S$ be a real analytic manifold of dimension $n$. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $S$. Let $X$ be a subanalytic subset of $S$. Then there exist finitely many mappings $\phi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to S$ such that:*

*(1) For each $i$, there is a compact subset $L_i$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\bigcup_i \phi_i(L_i)$ is a neighbourhood of $K$.*

*(2) For each $i$, $\phi_i^{-1}(X)$ is a union of quadrants in $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $\Omega$ be a relatively compact subanalytic open set of a real analytic (paracompact) manifold $S$. Then, given a complexification $S'$ of $S$, there exists a finite family of subanalytic Stein open subsets of $S'$, $U_i$, such that $\Omega = (\cup U_i) \cap S$.*

*Proof.* The proof of the case where $\Omega$ is semianalytic is due to D. Barlet in private communication and it inspired us the proof of the general case.

Let $\Omega$ be a relatively compact subanalytic open subset of $S$. Taking $X = \overline{\Omega}$ in Theorem 3.1, we may cover $\Omega$ by a finite number of open subsets $\Omega_i$ such that $\Omega_i \cap \Omega$ is a finite union $\bigcup_j \phi_i(Q_{ij})$, where each $Q_{ij}$ denotes an open quadrant of $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is sufficient to prove that each $\Omega_i \cap \Omega$ satisfies our assertion. Namely, we may assume that $\Omega$ is the image of single open quadrant $Q$ by a suitable morphism $\phi$. By the proof of Theorem 3.1, the restriction of $\phi$ to a suitable open polydisc of polyradius $(R, ..., R)$, $B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, is a proper modification with center in the boundary of $\Omega$, hence $\phi|_{Q \cap B_R(0)} : Q \cap B_R(0) \to \Omega$ is an analytic isomorphism. Since the family of Stein subanalytic open subsets is closed under finite intersection, we may assume without loss of generality that $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_1 > 0\}$. Let $\phi^{\mathbb{C}}$ be a complexification of $\phi$ defined in the complex polydisc $B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and let $\Omega''$ denote the Stein open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by $B_R(0) \cap \{z = (z_1, ..., z_n), \Re z_1 > 0\}$. We get $\Omega'' \cap \mathbb{R}^n = Q \cap B_R(0)$. Moreover, $\phi^{\mathbb{C}}|_{\Omega''}$ is an analytic isomorphism. Hence $\Omega' := \phi^{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega'')$ is a Stein open subanalytic set of $S'$ satisfying $\Omega' \cap S = \Omega$. q.e.d.

# 4 Realification for a smooth morphism, microsupport and 1-characteristic variety

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of complex manifolds. Let us denote by $\overline{Y}$ the underlying topological space $Y$ endowed with the complex conjugate structure and, identifying $Y$ to the diagonal $\Delta \subset Y \times \overline{Y}$ by the canonical embedding $l$, let us regard $Y \times \overline{Y}$ as a complexification of $Y$. Let us denote by $j : X \to X \times \overline{Y}$ the associated morphism and $p : X \times \overline{Y} \to X$ the associated projections.

**Proposition 4.1.** *For any $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_Y)$ and any $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ we have a canonical isomorphism:*

$$Rp_* R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X\times\overline{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om((f \times id_{\overline{Y}})^{-1} Rl_* F, \mathcal{O}_{X\times\overline{Y}})[dimY])$$
$$\simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)). \tag{5}$$

*Proof.* We have $pj = id_X$ and $j$ is proper so we may use the adjunction formula (7.4) of Theorem 7.2 of [13] for $j$, $f^{-1}F$ and $\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}$ to obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_{X\times\overline{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(Rj_* f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_{X\times\overline{Y}})[dimY]) \tag{6}$$
$$\simeq Rj_* \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)).$$

On the other hand, the equality $lf = (f \times id_{\overline{Y}})j$ and Proposition 2.5.11 of [13] entail the existence of a natural isomorphism such that, for any $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_Y)$, $Rj_* f^{-1}F \simeq (f \times id_{\overline{Y}})^{-1} Rl_* F$ which, composed with (6), gives (4.1).

q.e.d.

**Corollary 4.2.** *In the situation of Proposition 4.1, we have*

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)))$$
$$\subset p_\pi p_d(SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X\times\overline{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om((f \times id_{\overline{Y}})^{-1} Rl_* F, \mathcal{O}_{X\times\overline{Y}})))).$$

*Proof.* It is a consequence of the properness of $p$ on the support of

$$\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_{X\times\overline{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}((f \times id_{\overline{Y}})^{-1} Rl_* F, \mathcal{O}_{X\times\overline{Y}})).$$

q.e.d.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let* $\overline{f} = f \times id_{\overline{Y}}$.

*Then, for any* $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$*, we have*

$$p_\pi p_d^{-1}(\tilde{\overline{f}}^{-1}(C^1_{\overline{f}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))) = \tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M})).$$

*Proof.* Let $q : T^*(X \times \overline{Y}) \to T^*X$ be the projection. Since $C^1_{\overline{f}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M})) \subset T^*(X|Y) \times \overline{Y}$, by Remark 2.1 we have

$$p_\pi p_d^{-1}(\tilde{\overline{f}}^{-1}(C^1_{\overline{f}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))) = q(\tilde{\overline{f}}^{-1}(C^1_{\overline{f}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))).$$

By Lemma 2.8 we have $q(\tilde{\overline{f}}^{-1}(C^1_{\overline{f}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}))) = \tilde{f}^{-1}C^1_f(\mathcal{M})$ which ends the proof. q.e.d.

## 5 Cauchy-Kowalevskaia theorem and propagation

Let $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^d$ be an open neighbourhood of 0 of the form $X = Z \times Y$, with $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^d$. $f$ will stand for the projection $X \to Y$.

We shall consider a differential operator $P \in \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$ of the form

$$P(z, y, D_z, D_y) = D^m_{z_1} + \sum_{0 \leq j < m} a_\alpha(z, y) D^\alpha_{z'} D^j_{z_1}, |\alpha| \leq m, \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$, $D^\alpha_{z'} = D^{\alpha_2}_{z_2} ... D^{\alpha_n}_{z_n}$ and where the coefficients $a_\alpha(z, y)$ are holomorphic in a neighbourhood $\Omega_0$ of 0 in $X$.

Let $\Omega$ be an open convex subset in $Z$ and let $h \in \mathbb{C}$. We note $H_h$ and $H$, respectively, the hyperplane in $Z$ given by $z_1 = h$ and $z_1 = 0$. Let $\delta$ be a real positive number. Following J.M. Bony and P. Schapira in [8], $\Omega$ is $\delta - H_h - flat$ if, whenever $z \in \Omega$ and $\tilde{z} \in H_h$ satisfy

$$|z_1 - h| \geq \delta |z_j - \tilde{z}_j|_{j=2,\dots,n}$$

then $\tilde{z} \in \Omega$.

Let now $\Omega$ be an open subset of $X$. We shall say that $\Omega$ is $Y - \delta - H_h - flat$ if, for any $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y) \cap \Omega$ is $\delta - H_h - flat$ in $Z$.

In this situation we have:

**Proposition 5.1.** ***(Precised Cauchy Theorem)*** *Let $U$ be an open subanalytic set of $Y$. Let $P$ be an operator of the form (7). Then there exist an open neighbourhood $\Omega_0$ of $0 \in X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $h$, for any open subset $\Omega \subset \Omega_0$ which is $Y - \delta - H_h - flat$, for any*

$$f \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$$

*and for any*

$$(g) = (g_j)_{j=0,...,m-1} \in \Gamma(\Omega \cap H_h \times Y; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{H_h\times U}, \mathcal{O}_{H_h\times Y})))^m,$$

*the Cauchy Problem*

$$Pu = f, \gamma(u) = (g), \tag{8}$$

*where $\gamma(u) = (u|_{H_h\times Y}, ..., D^m_{z_1}u|_{H_h\times Y})$, admits a unique solution*

$$u \in \ \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))).$$

*Proof.* We shall adapt and follow step by step the proof of Theorem 2.4.3 of [7].

For an open set $\Omega$ and $f \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$, for any compact $K \subset \Omega$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set

$$(i)\ |f|_{K,k} = \underset{K\cap Z\times U}{sup} |f(w)d(w, \delta(Z \times U))^k|.$$

Therefore, the Cauchy data $f$ and $(g)$ satisfy the following condition: for any compact $K \subset \Omega$ (respectively $K' \subset \Omega \cap H_h \times Y$), there exists an integer $k \geq 0$ such that $|f|_{K,k} < +\infty$ (respectively, for each $j = 0, ..., m-1$, $|g_j|_{K',k} < +\infty$).

Let $K$ be a compact subset of $Z \times Y$. For $(z, y) = (z_1, z', y) \in K$ we set

$$(ii)\ d_{z',K}(z, y) = \inf\{\sup ||z' - \tilde{z}'||; (z_1, \tilde{z}', y) \in \delta K\}.$$

The operator $P$ may obviously be written in the form

$$P(z, y, D_z, D_y) = D^m_{z_1} + \sum_{0\leq j<m-1} D^{\alpha}_{z'} D^j_{z_1} a'_{\alpha j}(z, y), |\alpha| \leq m, \tag{9}$$

where the coefficients $a'_\alpha(z, y)$ are holomorphic in a neighbourhood $\Omega_0$ of 0. It is clear that, for any open subset $\Omega \subset \Omega_0$ and $f \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$, there exists $C_\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$|a'_\alpha f|_{K,k} < C_\alpha |f|_{K,k}. \tag{10}$$

We shall construct the solution $u$ of (8) by successive approximation, defining recursively a sequence

$$u_\nu \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$$

by setting $u_0 = 0$ and $u_{\nu+1}$ being the solution of the Cauchy Problem

$$D^m_{z_1} u_{\nu+1} = f + \sum_{0\leq j\leq m-1} D^\alpha_{z'} D^j_{z_1} a'_\alpha u_\nu, D^j_{z_1} u_{\nu+1}|_{H\times Y} = g_j, j = 0, ..., m-1. \tag{11}$$

Let us note $v_\nu = u_{\nu+1} - u_\nu$. We get $u_\nu = \sum_{i=0,\dots,\nu-1} v_i$,

$$D^m_{z_1} v_0 = f, D^j_{z_1} v_0|_{H\times Y} = g_j, j = 0, ..., m-1 \tag{12}$$

and

$$D^m_{z_1} v_{\nu+1} = \sum_{0\leq j\leq m-1} D^\alpha_{z'} D^j_{z_1} a'_{\alpha j} v_\nu,\ D^j_{z_1} v_{\nu+1}|_{H\times Y} = 0, |\alpha| \leq m \tag{13}$$

We may assume that $h = 0$. By the assumption, for any given compact subsets $K \subset \Omega$, $K' \subset \Omega \cap H_h \times Y$, and a given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $|f|_{K,k} < \infty$ and $|g_j|_{K',k} < \infty$, for all $j = 0, ..., m-1$.

Let us fix a given $\nu$, let us assume that $\delta \geq 0$ is given such that $\Omega$ is $Y - \delta - H - flat$ and that $v_\nu \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}\text{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$. We will prove that there exists a constant $C$, depending only on $P$ and $\Omega_0$, such that, for $K = K_1 \times K_2$, with $K_1 \subset Z$, $K_1$ being the closure of a $\delta - H - flat$ open subset of $Z$, and $K_2 \subset Y$, we have

$$|v_{\nu+1}|_{K,k} \leq C\delta |v_\nu|_{K,k}. \tag{14}$$

Let us note $K' = (K_1 \cap H) \times K_2$.

In view of (10), it is enough to prove that, if

$$w \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$$

satisfies $|w|_{K,k} < +\infty$ and $v$ is the solution of the Cauchy Problem

$$D^m_{z_1} v = D^\alpha_{z'} D^j_{z_1} w, D^j_{z_1} v|_{H\times Y} = 0, |\alpha| \leq m, j < m \tag{15}$$

then there exists a constant $C$, only depending on $m$ and of $\Omega_0$, such that

$$|v|_{K,k} \leq C\delta |w|_{K,k} < \infty. \tag{16}$$

Remark that $v$ is then the solution of the Cauchy Problem

$$D^{m-j}_{z_1} v = D^\alpha_{z'} w, |\alpha| \leq m-j, D^j_{z_1} v|_{H\times Y} = 0, |\alpha| \leq (m-j), j < m. \tag{17}$$

We have, for such $K$ and $k$, $|w|_{K,k} = \sup\limits_{(z,y)\in K_1\times(K_2\cap U)} |w(z,y)| d(y, \delta U)^k$, therefore, for any $(z,y) \in K_1 \times (K_2 \cap \times U)$ we have $|w(z,y)| \leq |w|_{K,k} d(y,\delta U)^{-k}$.

Let $z \in \mathrm{Int}(K_1)$ and let $y \in K_2 \cap U$. By Cauchy integral formula, we get

$$|D^\alpha_{z'} w(z,y)| \leq |w|_{K,k}\, e^{|\alpha|}(|\alpha|+1)! d(y,\delta U)^{-k} d_{z',K}(z,y)^{-|\alpha|}. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, we may assume $\delta < 1$ and diameter of $\Omega_0 < 1$. Hence

$$|D^\alpha_{z'} w(z,y)| \leq |w|_{K,k}\, e^{|\alpha|}(|\alpha|+1)! d(y,\delta U)^{k} d_{z',K}(z,y)^{-m+j}. \tag{19}$$

Since $\mathrm{Int}(K_1)$ is $\delta - H - flat$, one easily checks that, for $t \in [0,1]$, $p_t := (tz_1, z', y)$ belongs to $K \cap Z \times U$ and that

$$d_{z',K}(p_t) \geq d_{z',K}(z,y) + |\frac{(1-t)z_1}{\delta}|$$

hence,

$$|\int_0^1 D^\alpha_{z'} w(p_t) z_1 dt| \leq |w|_{K,k}\, e^{|\alpha|}(|\alpha|+1)! d(y,\delta U)^{-k} \delta d_{z',K}(z,y)^{-m+j+1}. \tag{20}$$

Iterating $m-j$ times this integration, we get

$$|v|_{K,k} \leq |w|_{K,k} e^{|\alpha|}(|\alpha|+1)!\delta^{m-j},$$

and we can choose $C = e^{|\alpha|}(|\alpha|+1)!$. Therefore (16) is proved which entails (14).

Remark that $v_0 = G + \sum_{j=0,\dots,m-1} g_j(z', y) z_1^j / j!$ where $G$ denotes the mth-primitive of $f$, vanishing up to the order $m$ on $H \times Y$. By assumption, $|f|_{K,k}$, $|g_j|_{K',k} < +\infty$. Hence $|v_0|_{K,k} < +\infty$. Noting $C_0 = |v_0|_{K,k}$, arguing by induction starting by (14), we get

$$|v_{\nu+1}|_{K,k} \leq C_0 (C\delta)^{\nu+1}. \tag{21}$$

We may also assume that $\delta < 1/C$. Therefore the series $\sum v_\nu$ defines a holomorphic function $u$ in $\Omega \cap Z \times U$ satisfying the estimate $|u|_{K,k} \leq C_0 \sum (C\delta)^\nu < +\infty$. Clearly, $u$ satisfies $Pu = f$. Furthermore, by (15) and (16), if a holomorphic function $u'$ defined in $\Omega \cap Z \times U$ satisfies $|u'|_{K,k} < +\infty$ and $Pu' = 0, \gamma(u') = 0$, then $|u'|_{K,k} \leq C\delta |u'|_{K,k}$, with $\delta$ arbitrarily small, hence $u'|_K = 0$. Therefore $u$ is the unique solution of (8). q.e.d.

From Proposition 5.1 one obtains the following tempered version of Cauchy-Kowalevskaia-Kashiwara Theorem (cf[11]):

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let $X$ and $Y$ be complex manifolds, let $X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism and let $\mathcal{M} \in Mod_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Let $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_Y)$. Let $H$ be a smooth manifold of $X$ non 1-characteristic for $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $f$ and let $f'$ denote $f|_H$. Then the natural morphism in $D^b(\mathbb{C}_H)$*

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X))|_H \to R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_H}(\mathcal{M}_H, t\mathcal{H}om(f'^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_H)) \tag{22}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* First case:

Assume $F = \mathbb{C}_U$ where $U$ is a finite union of Stein open subanalytic relatively compact subsets of $Y$. Since the family of Stein open subanalytic sets is stable under finite intersection, it is sufficient to assume that $U$ is Stein.

We may assume the following situation in a neighbohood of $x$: $X = Z \times Y$, where $Z$ is an open neigborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $Y$ is an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $f$ is the projection.

Considering the associated symplectic coordinates in $T^*X$, $(z, y; \zeta, \tau)$, with $z = (z_1, ..., z_n)$, by a coordinate transformation in $X$ we may assume that $H = \{(z, y), z_1 = 0\}$.

Moreover, by Remark 2.3, we may assume $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$ for a differential operator $P$ of the form (7).

The result then follows immediatly from Proposition 5.1.

Second case:

We assume that $Y$ is the complexification of a real analytic manifold $N$ and that $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_N)$. The assertion is locally checked so we may assume that $F$ has compact support. Then there exists a finite filtration $N = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset ...N_l = \emptyset$ such that $F_{N_j \setminus N_{j+1}}$ is a constant sheaf. Since $N_j \setminus N_{j+1}$ is a locally closed subanalytic set, which is always the difference of two open subanalytic sets, it is enough to prove the result for $F = \mathbb{C}_U$ for an open subanalytic relatively compact subset of $N$. Moreover we may assume that $N$ is $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $Y = \mathbb{C}^d$. By Theorem 3.2, we can write $U$ as a finite union $U = \bigcup_j \Omega_j \cap \mathbb{R}^d$, where each $\Omega_j$ is Stein open subanalytic in $\mathbb{C}^d$. The assertion holds for $\bigcup_j \Omega_j$ by the first case. On the other hand, $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite union of Stein open subsets, therefore the assertion holds for $(\bigcup_j \Omega_j) \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ and hence for $U$.

General case:

It easily follows by Proposition 4.1 and the second case. q.e.d.

The following Lemma is an adaptation of Zerner's Lemma:

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let $U$ be an open subanalytic set of $Y$. Let $\phi$ be a $C^\infty$ function in a neighbourhood of $0 \in X$ such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $d\phi(0) = dz_1$. Let $\Omega = \{(z, y) \in X : \phi(z, y) < 0\}$. Let $u \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$ and assume that $Pu$ extends as a section of $\mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$ in a* *neighbourhood of* $0$*. Then* $u$ *extends to a neighbourhood of* $0$ *as a section of* $\mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$.

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 5.1 the proof is similar to that of Lemma 2.7 in [21]. We may assume that $\phi$ is defined in $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$, with $\Omega_0$ as in Proposition 5.1, and that $Pu$ extends to $\Omega_0$. By classical arguments, there exists $< \epsilon \ll 1$ and $R > 0$ such that the open polydisc centered in $(-\epsilon, 0, 0)$ and radius max $(R, \delta R)$ is contained in $\Omega_0$. Then,

$$W_\epsilon = \{(z_1, z', y) : |z_1 + \epsilon| < \delta(R - ||z'||), ||z'|| < R, ||y|| < R\} \subset \Omega_0$$

is $Y - \delta - H_{-\epsilon} - flat$ and is a neighbourhood of 0. Again by Proposition 5.1 the solution of $Pu_\epsilon = Pu, \gamma(u_\epsilon) = \gamma(u)$ defines a section of $\mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$ on $W_\epsilon$.

q.e.d.

The preceding Lemma has a global version adapting Lemma 3.1.5 of [19]:

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let* $\omega$ *and* $\Omega$ *be two convex subsets in* $X$*, with* $\Omega$ *open,* $\omega$ *locally closed and* $\omega \subset \Omega$*. Assume that any real hyperplane whose conormal belongs to the closure of* $\{\xi; \exists x \in \Omega, \tilde{f}(x, \xi) \in C^1_f(\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P)\}$ *which intersects* $\Omega$ *also intersects* $\omega$*. Then, if* $u \in \Gamma(\omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$ *is such that* $Pu$ *extends to* $\Omega$*,* $u$ *extends to* $\Omega$*.*

As an easy consequence of the Analytic continuation principle for holomorphic functions we obtain:

**Lemma 5.5.** ***(Analytic continuation principle)*** *Let* $f : X \to Y$ *be a smooth morphism. Let* $\Omega$ *be a subanalytic open subset of* $X$ *such that, for any* $y \in U$*,* $\Omega \cap f^{-1}(y)$ *is non empty and connected. Let* $\omega \subset \Omega$ *be an open subset such that, for any* $y \in U$*,* $\omega \cap f^{-1}(y)$ *is non empty.*

*If* $u \in \Gamma(\Omega; \mathcal{H}^0(t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{f^{-1}(U)}, \mathcal{O}_X)))$ *vanishes on* $\Omega$*, then* $u = 0$*.*

**Lemma 5.6.** ***(Propagation)*** *Let $X$ and $Y$ complex manifolds and let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism. Let $U$ be a finite union of Stein subanalytic relatively compact open subsets of $Y$. Then, for any $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$, we have*

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{C}_{f^{-1}U}, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset C^1_f(\mathcal{M})|_X. \tag{23}$$

*Proof.* We may assume $U$ is Stein. Let $p = (x, \xi) \notin \tilde{f}{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M}))$. As above, we may assume that $x = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n+d}, X = Z \times Y$, where $Z$ is an open neigborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $Y$ is an open neighbourhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $f$ is the projection and $p = (0, 0; dz_1, 0)$. Moreover, we may assume $\mathcal{M}$ is of the form $\mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$ for a differential operator of the form

$$P(z, y, D_z, D_y) = D^m_{z_1} + \sum_{0 \leq j < m} a_\alpha(z, y) D^\alpha_{z'} D^j_{z_1}, |\alpha| \leq m \tag{24}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$, $D^\alpha_{z'} = D^{\alpha_2}_{z_2} ... D^{\alpha_n}_{z_n}$ and where the coefficients $a_\alpha(z, y)$ are holomorphic in a neighbourhood $\Omega_0$ of 0. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the complex $\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$. Let $\Omega_0, \delta$ be given by Proposition 5.1. We shall apply Proposition 2.4 and prove that, for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and $0 < R \ll 1$, denoting by $\omega$ the open polydisc of center $(0, ..., 0)$ and radius $R$, $B_R(0)$, by $H_\varepsilon = \{(z, y) \in X; \Re\langle z_1 \rangle > -\varepsilon\}$, and by $\gamma$ the proper closed convex cone of $\mathbb{C}^{n+d}$

$$\gamma = \{(z_1, z', y), \mathrm{Re} z_1 \leq -\delta(||(z', y)|| + |\mathrm{Im} z_1|)\},$$

we have

$$H^j(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma^a) \cap H_\epsilon} \otimes \mathcal{H}) = 0, \text{for any } j, (z, y) \in \omega.$$

By (3), it is enough to prove

$$i)\ H^0(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma^a) \cap H_\epsilon} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X)) = 0$$

ii) $P$ defines an isomorphism in $\mathcal{H}^1(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma^a) \cap H_\epsilon} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z \times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$.

Let us prove *i)*:

Let $s \in \Gamma(X; \mathbb{C}_{((z,y)+\gamma)\cap H_\epsilon} \otimes t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$. Then there exists $(z', y') \in \omega$ such that $s$ extends as a section $s'$ of $\Gamma((z', y')+\mathrm{int}(\gamma); t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(\mathbb{C}_{Z\times U}, \mathcal{O}_X))$ with support in $\overline{H_\epsilon}$. Let $\gamma'$ denote the closed convex cone of $C^n$ defined by

$$\gamma' = \{(z_1, z', ), \mathrm{Re} z_1 \leq -\delta(||z'|| + |\mathrm{Im} z_1|)\}$$

Then, for any $y' \in U$, the holomorphic function in the $z$ variable $s'(., y')$ is defined in $z + \mathrm{Int}(\gamma')$ and has support in $\{z, \mathrm{Re} z_1 \geq -\epsilon\}$, hence vanishes which entails $s = 0$ by Lemma 5.5.

The proof of *ii)* follows from Lemma 5.4 and the stepwise adaptation of Proposition 5.1.5 of [13] by a classical argument (see [19], [21]).

q.e.d.

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let $X$ and $Y$ complex manifolds and let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism. Assume that $Y$ is a complexification of a real manifold $N$. Let $F$ belong to $D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_N)$. Then (4) holds for $f^{-1}F$.*

*Proof.* The estimation (4) is locally checked so we may assume that $F$ has compact support. Then there exists a finite filtration $N = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset \ldots N_l = \emptyset$ such that $F_{N_j \setminus N_{j+1}}$ is a constant sheaf. Since $N_j \setminus N_{j+1}$ is a locally closed subanalytic set, which is always the difference of two open subanalytic sets, it is enough to prove the result for $F = \mathbb{C}_U$ for an open subanalytic relatively compact subset of $N$. Moreover we may assume that $N$ is $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $Y = \mathbb{C}^d$. By Theorem 3.2, we can write $U$ as a finite union $U = \bigcup_j \Omega_j \cap \mathbb{R}^d$, where each $\Omega_j$ is Stein open subanalytic in $\mathbb{C}^d$. By Lemma 5.6, (4) holds for $\bigcup_j \Omega_j$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{C}^d \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ is a finite union of Stein open subsets, hence (4) holds for $(\bigcup_j \Omega_j) \setminus \mathbb{R}^d$ which ends the proof.

q.e.d.

**Proof of Theorem 2.10**

By Corollary 4.2 we have

$$SS(\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X)))$$

$$\subset p_\pi p_d(SS(\mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}_{\mathcal{D}_{X\times\overline{Y}}}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(f\times id_{\overline{Y}})^{-1}Rl_*F, \mathcal{O}_{X\times\overline{Y}}))).$$

where $p : X \times \overline{Y} \to X$ denotes the projection. The result is then an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.7 together with Corollary 4.3.

**Proof of Theorem 2.14**
It is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.7.

In the case of a product, $f$ being a projection, there is a particular class of $\mathcal{D}$-modules for which estimate in Theorem 2.10 is easily improved.

**Corollary 5.8.** *Assume that $X = Z \times Y$, note $p : X \to Y$ the first projection, $f : X \to Y$ the second projection and $q : T^*Z \times T^*Y \to T^*Z$ the projection. Let $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_{coh}(D_Z)$. Then*

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset q^{-1}(Char(\mathcal{M})).$$

*Proof.* Reducing first to $\mathcal{M}$ in degree zero, and secondly to $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{D}_Z/\mathcal{J}$, for a coherent ideal of $\mathcal{D}_X$, one easily checks the equality

$$\tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\underline{p}^{-1}\mathcal{M})) = q^{-1}(Char(\mathcal{M})).$$

q.e.d.

# 6 Microlocalization

## 6.1 1-microcharacteristic varieties

Let $V$ be a smooth involutive subamanifold of $T^*X \setminus T^*_XX$, let $\mathcal{E}_X(m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ denote the filtration of $\mathcal{E}_X$ by the order, and let $\mathcal{J}_V$ denote the subsheaf of $\mathcal{E}_X$ of microdifferential operatos of order at most 1 whose symbol of order 1 vanishes on $V$. Let $I_V \subset \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$ be the defining ideal of $V$. One denotes $\mathcal{E}_V$ the sub-sheaf of rings of $\mathcal{E}_X$ generated by $\mathcal{J}_V$,

$$\mathcal{E}_V = \cup_{m\geq 0}\mathcal{J}^m.$$

For $P \in \mathcal{E}_V \cap \mathcal{E}_X(m)$, $P \notin \mathcal{E}_X(m-1)$, one defines $\sigma^1_V(P)$ as being the image of $\sigma(P)$ in $I_V^m/I_V^{m+1}$. Hence $\sigma^1_V(P)$ defines a holomorphic function on $T_V(T^*X)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{E}_X$-module. By the constructions of [22] and [23], one associates to $\mathcal{M}$ the 1-microcharacteristic variety along $V$, a conic analytic subset of $T_V(T^*X)$, satisfying, for $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{E}_X/\mathcal{J}$, $\mathcal{J}$ a coherent ideal of $\mathcal{E}_X$ ,

$$C^1_V(\mathcal{M}) = \{p \in T_V(T^*X); \sigma^1_V(P)(p) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{E}_V\}.$$

The definition extends naturally to $D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{E}_X)$. Recall that, by (5.5.10) of [13], we get an embedding $T^*X \underset{H}{\hookrightarrow} T^*(T^*X)$ associated to the embedding of $X$ in the zero section of $T^*X$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism and set $V = T^*Y \times_Y X$. Note by $V^{\cdot} = V \setminus T^*_X X$. Then $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X|Y} \subset \mathcal{E}_{V^{\cdot}}$, more precisely, it is equal to $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X \cap \mathcal{E}_{V^{\cdot}}$.

Let now $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and set $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{E}_X \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}$.

**Lemma 6.1.** $H^{-1}\rho_V^{-1}(C^1_{V^{\cdot}}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})) = \tilde{f}^{-1}(C^1_f(\mathcal{M}))$.

*Proof.* We may assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is concentrated in degree zero and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{L}$ for a coherent ideal $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D}_X$, and assume that $f : X = Z \times Y \to Y$ is the projection. Taking local coordinates $(z, y)$ in $X$ and the associated canonical coordinates $(z, y; \xi, \eta)$ on $T^*X$, we get $V = \{(z, y; \xi, \eta) \in T^*X, \xi = 0\}$. The result follows for, for $P \in \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$, one has

$$\sigma^1_{V^{\cdot}}(P)(z, y, 0, \eta; \tilde{\xi}) = \sigma(P)(z, y, \tilde{\xi}).$$

q.e.d.

### 6.2 Estimate for $t\mu hom$

Let us recall the construction of the functor $t\mu hom(\cdot, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of tempered microlocalization of ([1]).

Let $\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complex normal deformation of $X \times X$ along the diagonal $\Delta$ which we identify with $X$ by the first projection $p_1$, which gives an identification of $TX$ with the normal bundle $T_\Delta(X \times X)$. Let $t : \tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $p : \tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \to X \times X$ be the canonical maps, let $\tilde{\Omega}$ be $t^{-1}(\mathbb{C} - \{0\})$ and $\Omega = t^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+) \subset \tilde{\Omega}$. Let $p_2 : X \times X \to X$ be the second projection.

Consider the following diagram of morphisms:

$$TX \simeq T_\Delta(X \times X) \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \overset{j}{\hookleftarrow} \Omega = t^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^+) \tag{25}$$

Let $\tilde{p} : \tilde{\Omega} \to X \times X,$ be the restriction of $p$. Denote by $\overline{p}_1$ the composition $p_1 \circ p$ and by $\overline{p}_2$ the composition $p_2 \circ p$.

Under these notations, $t\nu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is defined by

$$t\nu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X) = i^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}}(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \underset{\overline{p}_1}{\to} X}, t\mathcal{H}om(\overline{p}_2^{-1} F \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}})).$$

Let $D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_{TX})$ (resp. $D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_{T^*X})$) be the derived category of complexes of sheaves on $TX$ (resp. $T^*X$) with conic cohomologies. We denote by the symbol $\widehat{\ }$ the Fourier-Sato Transform from $D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_{TX})$ to $D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_{T^*X})$. Then by definition, $t\mu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X) = t\nu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)\widehat{\ }$. Let us recall that under the identification of $T^*(TX)$ with $T^*(T^*X)$ by the Hamiltonian isomorphism we have $SS(F) = SS(F)\widehat{\ }$ for any $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{C}_{TX})$.

Remark that for any coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module $\mathcal{M}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & R\mathcal{H}om_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\pi^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\mu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)) \\ & \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\tau^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\tau^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\nu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X))\widehat{\ }. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let $\mathcal{M} \in D^b_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism and let $V = T^*Y \times_Y X$. Then*

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{E}_X}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, t\mu hom(f^{-1}(F), \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset \rho_V^{-1}(C^1_{V^\cdot}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})). \tag{27}$$

*Proof.* By (26) it is suffitient to prove the analogue of (27) with $t\mu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$ replaced by $t\nu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Moreover, the assertion being local, we may assume that $f : X = Z \times Y \to Y$ is the projection. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &R\mathcal{H}om_{\tau^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\tau^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\nu hom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)) \\ &\simeq i^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M}), t\mathcal{H}om(\overline{p}_2^{-1}f^{-1}F \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}})) \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.6.2 of [13], we have an inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} &SS(\ i^{-1}R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\tilde{X}}}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M}), t\mathcal{H}om(\overline{p}_2^{-1}f^{-1}F \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}))) \\ &\subset i^\sharp(SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M}), t\mathcal{H}om(\overline{p}_2^{-1}f^{-1}F \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}})))). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Endow $X \times X$ with the system of local coordinates $(x, x')$, so that $\Delta \subset X \times X$ is defined by $x = x'$. Under the change of coordinates : $x$, $y = x - x'$, $\Delta$ will be defined by $y = 0$. Using $(x, y)$, $\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is endowed with the coordinates $(t, x, y)$, and

$$p(t, x, y) = (x, x - ty),\ \overline{p}_1(t, x, y) = x, \text{ and } \overline{p}_2(t, x, y) = x - ty \tag{29}$$

We may assume that the local coordinate system $x$ is of the form $x = (z, u)$ such that $f \colon X \to Y$ is given by $(z, u) \mapsto u$. Then $V = X \times_Y T^*Y$ is given by $V = \{(z, u; \xi, \eta); \xi = 0\}$. Set $y = (z', u')$. Let $f_2$ denote the smooth morphism $\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}} \to Y \times \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$f_2(t, x, y) = (f \circ \overline{p}_2(t, x, y), t) = (u - tu', t).$$

Let $(t, z, u, z', u'; \tau, \xi, \eta, \xi', \eta')$ be the associated coordinates of $T^*(\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}})$.

Since $\overline{p}_2^{-1}f^{-1}F \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega = f_2^{-1}(F \times \mathbb{C}_\Omega)$, by Theorem 2.10 we have:

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M}), t\mathcal{H}om(\overline{p}_2^{-1}f^{-1}F \otimes \mathbb{C}_\Omega, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}))) \subset \tilde{f}_2^{-1}(C^1_{f_2}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M}))). \tag{30}$$

By (28) and (30) we get

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\tau^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}(\tau^{-1}\mathcal{M}, t\nu hom(f^{-1}F, \mathcal{O}_X))) \subset i^\sharp(\tilde{f}_2^{-1}(C^1_{f_2}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M})))). \tag{31}$$

Therefore it is enough to prove the inclusion

$$i^\sharp(\tilde{f_2}^{-1}(C^1_{f_2}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M})))) \subset \rho_V^{-1}(C^1_V(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})).$$

We may assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is concentrated in degree zero and is of the form $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{J}$ for a coherent ideal $\mathcal{J}$. We have

$$\underline{\overline{p}_1}\mathcal{M} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}}{\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}}\mathcal{J} + \langle D_{z'}, D_{u'}, D_t\rangle}.$$

Since $\langle D_{z'}, \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}\rangle \subset \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}^{\mathbb{C}}|Y\times\mathbb{C}}$ we have

$$\tilde{f_2}^{-1}(C^1_{f_2}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M}))) \subset \{(t, z, u, z', u'; \tau, \xi, \eta, \xi', \eta'); \xi' = 0, \sigma(P)(z, u; \xi) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}\}.$$

Hence

$$i^\sharp(\tilde{f_2}^{-1}(C^1_{f_2}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M})))) \subset \{(z, u, z', u', \xi, \eta, 0, \eta') \in T^*(TX); \exists(t_n, z_n, u_n, z'_n, u'_n; \tau_n, \xi_n, \eta_n, 0, \eta'_n),$$

$$t_n \to 0, z_n \to z, u_n \to u, \xi_n \to \xi, \eta_n \to \eta, \eta'_n \to \eta', |t_n\tau_n| \to 0, \sigma(P)(z_n, u_n; \xi_n) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}\}$$

$$\subset \{(z, u, z', u', \xi, \eta, 0, \eta'); \sigma(P)(z, u; \xi) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}\}.$$

Recall that the identification of $T^*(TX)$ to $T(T^*X)$ is described by:

$$T^*(TX) \ni (z, u, z', u'; \xi, \eta, \xi', \eta') \leftrightarrow (z, u, \xi', \eta'; z', u', \xi, \eta) \in T(T^*X).$$

Hence

$$i^\sharp(\tilde{f_2}^{-1}(C^1_{f_2}(\underline{\overline{p}_1}(\mathcal{M})))) \subset \{(z, u, 0, \eta'; z', u', \xi, \eta) \in T(T^*X); \sigma(P)(z, u; \xi) = 0\}$$

$$= \{(z, u, 0, \eta'; z', u', \xi, \eta) \in T(T^*X); \sigma^1_{V^\cdot}(P)(z, u, 0, \eta'; \xi) = 0, \forall P \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{E}_{V^\cdot}\} = \rho_V^{-1}(C^1_{V^\cdot}(\mathcal{M})).$$

q.e.d.

## References

[1] E. Andronikof, *Microlocalization tempérée*, Supplémment au Bull.Soc.Math.France, mémoire 57, **122**, 2, (1994).

[2] A. D'Agnolo and F.Tonin, *Cauchy Problem for hyperbolic $\mathcal{D}$-modules with regular singularities*, Pacific J. Math. **184**, 1-22 (1998).

[3] E. Bierstone and P. D. Milman, *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS, **67**, 5-42 , (1988).

[4] H. Grauert, *On Levi's Problem and the embedding of real analytic manifolds*, Annals of Maths, **68**, 2, 460-472 (1958).

[5] H. Hironaka, *Subanalytic sets*, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra, Tokyo, Kinokuniya, 453-493, (1963).

[6] H. Koshimizu and K. Takeuchi, *Extension theorems for distribution solutions to $\mathcal{D}$-modules with regular singularities*, Proceedings of the AMS, **128**, 6, 1685-1690 (2000).

[7] J.M. Bony, *Propagation des singularités différentiables pour une classe d'opérateurs différentiels ǫeffitients analytiques*, Astérisque, **34-35** 43-92, (1976).

[8] J.M. Bony and P.Schapira, *Propagation des singularités analytiques pour les solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **26**, 81-140, (1976)

[9] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to Complex Analysis in several variables*, North-Holland, **7**, (1973).

[10] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators II,* Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **257**, Springer Verlag, (1983).

[11] M. Kashiwara, *D-Modules and Microlocal Calculus*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **217**, AMS (2003).

[12] M. Kashiwara, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems*, Publ. R.I.M.S, Kyoto Univ, **20**, 219-315 (1984).

[13] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds,* Grundlehren der Math. Wiss.,**292**, Springer Verlag (1990).

[14] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Moderate and formal cohomology associated with constructible sheaves,* Bull.Soc. Math. france, Mémoire **94** (1996).

[15] M. Kashiwara, T. Monteiro Fernandes and P. Schapira, *Micro-support and Cauchy problem for temperate solutions of regular D-modules,* Portugaliae Mathematica, Vol 58, pp. 485-504 (2001).

[16] M. Kashiwara and T. Oshima, *Systems of differential equations with regular singularities and their boundary values problem*, Annals of Math.,**106**, 145-200 (1977).

[17] M. Sato, T. Kawai and M. Kashiwara, *Hyperfunctions and pseudodifferential equations,* Lecture Notes in Math., Springer **287**, 265-529 (1973).

[18] O. Berni, *A vanishing theorem for formal cohomology of perverse sheaves*, Journal of Funct. Anal., **158**, 2, 267-288, (1998).

[19] P. Shapira, *Microdifferential systems in the complex domain*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss., Vol. **269**, Springer-Verlag, (1985).

[20] R. Narasimhan, *A note on Stein Spaces and their normalizations*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze, 3e série, **16**, 4, 327-333 (1962).

[21] T. Monteiro Fernandes, *Propagation of the irregularity of a microdifferential system*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ, **37**, 2, 119-139, (2001)

[22] T. Monteiro Fernandes *Problème de Cauchy pour les systèmes microdifférentiels*, Astérisque, **140-141**, 135-220, (1986).

[23] Y. Laurent, *Théorie de la deuxième microlocalization*, Progr. Math., Birkhauser,**53** (1985).

Teresa Monteiro Fernandes
Centro de Álgebra da Universidade de Lisboa, Departamento de Matemática, FCUL,
Edifício C6, piso 2, Campo Grande, 1749-16,Lisboa
Portugal
tmf@ptmat.fc.ul.pt